PTO/SB/08B (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449/PTO		re required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known		
·	Application Number	10/723,873		
Information disclosure	Filing Date	November 26, 2003		
Statement by applican	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal		
	Art Unit	1732		
(Use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell		
Sheet 1 of 13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301		

Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
Su	1	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO CSP'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-11", (Pages 1-70)	
		(Attachment: "The Prior Art Invalidates The Asserted Claims") (Pages 1-15)	
	2	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.1-4", (Pages 1-8)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A" - Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	
	3	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-4", (Pages 1-14)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. McCabe to Margaret M. Duncan, Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 847), "Exhibit C"-Dictionary (Page 756)	
V		"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit E" (Page 1250), "Exhibit G"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	
		·	
,			
Examiner Signature	5	SUM Vivill Date Considered 5/05	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not diction is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if noyin conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
a collection of Information unless it contains a valid OMB contains.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO				Complete if Known		
				Application Number	10/723,873	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Filing Date	November 26, 2003	
Statement by applicant			PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
				Art Unit	1732	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)		Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell			
Sheet	2	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301	

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS									
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, ca	nclude name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.						
82	4	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, IN RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROG	C.: "CSP'S THIF	RD SUPPLEMENTAL					
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 22), "Exhibit							
		"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 756), "Exhibit E"-Dictionary (Page 921), "Exhibit F"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit G"-Dictionary (Page 1250), "Exhibit H"-Dictionary(Page 1251)							
		"Exhibit I"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")							
	5	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-4", (Pages 1-15)							
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 22), "Exhibit							
		"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 756), "Exhibit E"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit G"-Dictionary (Page 1250), "Ex							
	"Exhibit I"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")								
	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO SCI'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.5-6", (Pages 1-6)								
SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 5 AND 6", (Pages 1-5)									
Examiner Signature		82 /	Date Considered	5/05					

Examiner	\sim 1	Date		
Signature	1	Considered	l <i>-</i> } /	0 (
'EVAMINED: I	sitial if reference considered whether or not citation is in conformance with MDEP 6	09 Draw line through c	itation if not in	conformance and not

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Considered. Include copy of this form with next contributication to applicants to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

	Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-003
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons ar	e required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number
	Complete if Known

Substitute for form 1449/PTO					Complete ii Known	
ĺ	Substitute for form 144ar 10			Application Number	10/723,873	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Filing Date	November 26, 2003	
	Statement by applicant			First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
				Art Unit	1732	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)		Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell			
١	Sheet 3	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301	

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposlum, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Τ²
80	8	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S RESPONSES TO SCI'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.7-11", (Pages 1-9)	
	9	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO SCI'S FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES" (PAGES 1-8)	
	10	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO.5 (pages 1-11)	
	11	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' CORRECTED FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO.5", (pages 1-11)	
	12	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF SCI'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSON", (PAGES 1-3)	
		(Attachment: "CSP's Objections And Responses To SCI's Second Set Of Requests For Admission" (Pages 1-4) With Attachment.)	
	13	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: " SCI'S THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO CSP'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.1-11", (Pages 1-63)	
		(Attachment: "The Prior Art Invalidates The Asserted Claims") (Pages 1-13)	
	14	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT". (Pages 1-5)	

Examiner Signature	80-	Date Considered	78/7

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

considered, include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2005. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
aperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known distitute for form 1449/PTO **Application Number** 10/723,873 Filing Date INFORMATION DISCLOSURE November 26, 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Ihab M. Hekal Art Unit 1732 (Lise as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Suzanne E. McDowell Attorney Docket Number 62357.016301 Sheet 14 13

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²
80	15	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: " DECLARATION OF ROBERT S. ABRAMS IN SUPPORT OF CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER SUD-CHEMIE'S-	
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION", (Pages 1-5)		DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION", (Pages 1-5)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit 1"- Letter From Klaus Langer to Robert S. Abrams, "Exhibit 2"- Letter From Robert S. Abrams Klaus Langer)	
SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER-			
SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION",(Pages 1-23		SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION",(Pages 1-23)	
	17	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT", (Pages 1-7)	
	18	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CONSENTED TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW THE DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS OR-	
		TRANSFER", (Pages 1-3)	
	19	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "ANSWER AND COUNTER CLAIMS OF DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.", (Pages 1-13)	

Examiner Signature	82	Date Considered	5/05

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Opening the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Complete If Known

DE SANCE	Der the Paperwork Rec	luction A	ct of 1993, no persons a	e required to respond to a conscion	Complete if Known
Substitu	Substitute for form 1449/PTO			Application Number	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Filing Date	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	
				Art Unit	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)			ecessary)	Examiner Name	
Sheet	5	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	

			NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Exa	miner als*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²
		20	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "JOINT PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN", (Pages 1-21)	
	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: PLAINTIFF SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S REPLY TO THE COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.", (Pages 1-6)			
		22	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-8)	
23 DI		23	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION FOR LACK OF A CERTAIN CONTROVERSY AS TO CERTAIN-	
			PATENTS AND PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-3)	
		24	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS DISMISSING SUD-CHEMIE'S AMENDED THIRD COUNT FOR INEQUITABLE CONBUCT",-	
			(Pages 1-6)	
		25	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIES DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION FOR LACK OF A-	
			JUSTICIABLE CONTROVERSY AS TO CERTAIN PATENTS AND PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-15)	
		26	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-4)	

Examiner Signature	8~	Date Considered	5/05

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not inconformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicants.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)

Underine Pane	owork Reduction A	ct of 1995, no persons a	U.S. Patent re required to respond to a collection	and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT Of information unless it contains a valid OMB	OF COMMERCE
ADEMINE for form 1	440/PTO			Complete if Known	
Seestifute for form 1	449/P10		Application Number	10/723,873	
INFORMA	TION DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 26, 2003	
STATEME	a ye the	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
			Art Unit	1732	
(Use as	many sheets as n	ecessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet 6	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301	

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²	
		SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS" (Pages 1-12)		
	28	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OPENING BREIF ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION", (Pages 1-30)		
SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S BREIF ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE '937, '006, AND '255 PATENTS", (Pages 1-29)				
SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-4)				
	31	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS DISMISSING SUD-CHEMIE'S AMENDED THIRD-		
		COUNT FOR INEQUITABLE CONDUCT", (Pages 1-9)		
		·		
		,		
Examiner		Date C/		

Examiner	Date	-	, —
Signature X	Considered	7 /	as
*EVANINED: Initial if reference concident whether as not citation is in conformance with MOED 6	00 Draw line through o	itation if not in codio	mance and not

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449/PTO Application Number 10/723,873 Filing Date INFORMATION DISCLOSURE November 26, 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Ihab M. Hekal Art Unit 1732 (Use as many sheets as necessary) Examiner Name Suzanne E. McDowell

Attorney Docket Number

13 of

62357.016301

Sheet

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²
5	32	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION AS TO CERTAIN PATENTS AND-	
	·	PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-15)	
	33	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-8)	
	34	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S REPLY BREIF ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE '937, '006, AND '255 PATENTS", (Pages 1-22)	
	35	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S RESPONSE TO SCI'S BREIF ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION", (Pages 1-36)	
	36	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-12)	
	37	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S BREIF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-12)	
	38	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "OFFICIAL REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARKMAN HEARING", (Pages 1-106)	
	39	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' BREIF IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-18)	
	40	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "DECLARATON OF CHRISTOPHER W. MACOSKO", (Pages 1-8)	

Examiner Signature	8	Date . Considered	2/	OS

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

B collection of information unless it entities a unit OMB and the collection of the collectio

	obstitute for form 1449/PTO			e required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid UMB control number. Complete if Known		
/ Guosaa	10 10 10 11 17 01 10			Application Number	10/723,873	
inf	ORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 26, 2003	
STA	tement e	BY A	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
	(Use as many she	oto oo s		Art Unit	1732	
	(Use as many site	40 49 II		Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	8	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301	

	-		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Exam		Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
SI	SM		SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SECOND DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER W. MACOSKO", (Pages 1-6)	
		42	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: SCI'S REPLY TO SUR-REPLY BREIF REGARDING SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-5)	
		43	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIABLE CONTROVERSY", (Pages 1-3)	
	4		SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S. PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICABLE-	
		-	CONTROVERSY (Pages 1-4)	
		45	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIALBLE CONTROVERSY AND SCI'S-	
			CROSS-MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING THE PRESUMTION OF VALIDITY", (Pages 1-11)	
		46	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S. PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIABLE-	
			CONTROVERSY AND CSP'S OPPOSITION TO SCI'S CROSS-MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING THE PRESUMTION OF VALIDITY", (Pages 1-13)	
		47	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S REPLY IN SUPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-21	

Examiner	\circ	Date	\mathcal{I}	
Signature	8~	Considered	<i>/</i> /() (

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	der the Paperwork Red	uction A	ct of 1995, no persons ar	e required to respond to a collection	n of Information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known
Substitu	18 10 r 10 m 1445/F 10			Application Number	10/723,873
INF	ORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 26, 2003
STA	atement B	Y A	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal
	(Use as many she	oto oe s	occeptud	Art Unit	1732
 	(OSE as many sne	819 69 11	ecessary,	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell
Sheet	9	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS			
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²		
81/	48	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' SURREPLY IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Page 1-17)			
	49	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: SCI'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-35)			
	(With Attachment Cited Below)				
	50	(Attachment No. 1:Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.:"CSP's Fourth Supplemental Responses To SCI's First Set-			
		Of Interrogatories Nos. 1-4") (Pages 1-15) With Attachment)			
	51	(Attachment No. 2: Hekal, "United States Patent No. 5,911,937")			
	52	(Attachment No. 3: Hekal, "United States Patent No. 6,124,006")			
	53	(Attachment No. 4: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "CSP's Answers To Plaintiff SCI's First Set Of Requests For Admission", (Pages 1-4)			
	(Attachment No. 5: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "CSF Responses to SCI's Third Set Of Interrogatories Nos. 7-11", (Pages 1-9)				

Examiner Signature	800	Date Considered	5/	05

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

		luction A	ct of 1995, no persons ar	e required to respond to a collection	of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known
Substitu	ite for form 1449/PTO			Application Number	10/723,873
INF	ORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 26, 2003
STA	TEMENT B	A YE	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal
	(Use as many she	note ne s	managa and	Art Unit	1732
	(USB 85 many sm	reis as n	ecessary	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell
Sheet	10	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301

			NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Exam Initial		Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
8	/	55	(Attachment No. 6: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Declaration Of Professor Donald R. Paul In Support Of SCI's Motion For Summary Judgment Of-	
			Patent Invalidity", (Pages 1-6)	
		56	(Attachment No. 7: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Deposition Transcript Of Ihab Hekal"(September 24, 2004)(7 Pages)	
		57	(Attachment No. 8: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,130,263")	
		58	(Attachment No. 9: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,214,255 B1")	
		59	(Attachment No. 10: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Deposition Of Glenn Frederickson Taken On Tuesday, October 12, 2004", (19 Pages)	
		60	(Attachment No.11: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Videotaped Deposition Of Defendant By Ihab Hekal", (September 23, 2004)	
			(7 Pages)	
		61	(Attachment No. 12: Lancessenr, "United States Patent No. 5,432,214")	

		<i>,</i>				
Examiner	C_{α}		Date	~	_	
Signature			Considered	1.57	0 1	

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

considered. Include copy of this form with near communication to applicants to place a check mark here if English language Translation Is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2005. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

62357.016301

Ur	der the Paperwi	ork Reduction A	ot of 1995, no persons ar	re required to respond to a collection	of information unless it contains a valid OMB	control number.
	ite for form 1449				Complete if Known	
Subsuk	de for form 1445	ur IO		Application Number	10/723,873	
NF	ORMAT	ION DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 26, 2003	
STA	atemen	a ye ti	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
	04 aa ma			Art Unit	1732	
	(USB as ma	any sheets as n	ecessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	11	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301	

Sheet

11

13

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
SM	62	(Attachment No. 13: "Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product", (Pages 1-5)	
	63	(Attachment No. 14: "Project On Morphology Control In Polymer-Polymer-Particle Alloys", (Pages 1-2)	
	64	(Attachment No. 15: "European Patent Application No. 0,401,666 A2")	
	65	(Attachment No. 16: "Shigeta et al., United States patent No. 5,078,909")	
	66	(Attachment No. 17: "International Application No. PCT/US96/05261")	
	67	(Attachment No. 18: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,174,952 B1")	
	68	(Attachment No. 19: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,194,079 B1")	
	69	(Attachment No. 20: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,465,532 B1")	
	70	(Attachment No. 21: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,486,231 B1")	
	71	(Attachment No. 22: "Memo To Dr.Hekal From Rob Garren")	

Examiner Date Signature Considered

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	-	uction A	ct of 1995, no persons ar	re required to respond to a collection	of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known
Substitu	ite for form 1449/PTO			Application Number	10/723,873
INF	ORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 26, 2003
STA	TEMENT 8	Y A	.PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal
	//	-4		Art Unit	1732
	(Use as many she	ets as r	ecessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell
Sheet	12	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	· · ·
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
82	72	(Attachment No. 23: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Deposition Of Robert Garren, on July 8, 2004", (4 Pages)	
	73	(Attachment No. 24: "Letter To Calvin L. Loop From Robert S. Abrams") (With Attachment)	
	74	(Attachment No. 25: "Letter To Calvin L. Loop From Robert S. Abrams")	
	75	(Attachment No. 26: "Memo To Calvin Loop From Rob Garren")	
	76	(Attachment No. 27: "Memo To Calvin Loop From Beki Urioste")	
	77	(Attachment No. 28: "Memo To Dr. Hekal, Clavin Loop, Robert Abrams From Rob Garren")	
	78	(Attachment No. 29: "Summary On New Polymer Technology")	
	79	(Attachment No. 30: "Diagrams")	
	80	(Attachment No. 31: "Diagrams")	

Examiner Signature	Date Considered	0\
Signature	Considered	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicants to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
due the Demonstrate Perfection Art of 1995	no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

	der the Paperwork Red ite for form 1449/PTO	uction A	ct of 1995, no persons ar		
Substitu	RAIDE IONN 1443/F 10			Application Number	10/723,873
INF	ORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 26, 2003
STA	atement e	BY A	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal
	(Use as many she	nte se s	acases#i	Art Unit	1732
	(OSB us many sno	-		Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell
Sheet	13	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.016301

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²			
8	81	(Attachment No. 32: "Diagrams")				
-	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S OPPOSITION TO SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND EXHIBITS 7, 9A, 9B, 9C,9D,9E,12,14,15 & 17°,					
		(Pages 1-34)				
	83	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-21)				
	84	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S LOCAL RULE 56.1(d) SURREPLY IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-10)				
1	85	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-2)				
Examiner Signature		Date Considered 5/0				

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.